



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,986	10/04/2005	Mitsuru Kitamura	A-501	3344
802	7590	07/21/2008	EXAMINER	
PATENTTM.US			LAVARIAS, ARNEL C	
P. O. BOX 82788				
PORTLAND, OR 97282-0788			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/551,986

Applicant(s)

KITAMURA, MITSURU

Examiner

Amel C. Lavarias

Art Unit

2872

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2008.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☒ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
7) ☒ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 May 2008 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/4/05
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Inventor's Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The replacement drawings were received on 5/6/08. These drawings are acceptable.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendments to the specification and abstract of the disclosure in the submission dated 5/6/08 are acknowledged and accepted. In view of these amendments, the objections to the specification in Sections 8, 10 in the Office Action dated 11/6/07 are respectfully withdrawn.
3. The amendments to Claims 5-6 in the submission dated 5/6/08 are acknowledged and accepted.
4. The cancellation of Claims 1-4, 7-8 in the submission dated 5/6/08 is acknowledged and accepted.
5. In view of the amendments made to the claims above, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, in Section 14 of the Office Action dated 11/6/07 are respectfully withdrawn.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 5-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:
Claim 5, line 9- the variables ' θ_{xz} ' and ' θ_{yz} ' have not been defined in the claim.
Appropriate correction is required.

7. Claims 5-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

There are multiple limitations in both Claims 5 and 6 that appear to have insufficient antecedent basis. A listing of these limitations follows:

Claim 5, lines 15, 19- 'these converging lights' (does this refer back to the converged light in lines 9-10?)

Claim 5, lines 18-19- 'the density of the images' (does this refer back to a density of pixel of an image in lines 16-17?)

Claim 6, lines 4-5- 'the light' (what light does this refer back to?)

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objections set forth in this Office action.
9. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objections set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent No. 5561537 to Aritake et al.

Aritake et al. is being cited to evidence a conventionally known stereoscopic display system and method for displaying an image from a holographic surface (See for example Abstract; Figures 8-9, 11-30, 38, 40, 45-46).

11. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:
See Sections 6-7 of the instant Office Action regarding informalities with the claims.
Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 571-272-2315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 AM - 6:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arnel C. Lavarias
Primary Examiner
Group Art Unit 2872
7/11/08

/Arnel C. Lavarias/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2872